

MAT223 FINAL EXAM

AUGUST 2007

1.

- a. False. If, for example,  $U$  and  $W$  are different 1-dimensional subspaces of  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ , then  $U \cup W$  is like an X, two intersecting lines. Since it is not the origin, a single line through the origin, or the entire plane,  $U \cup W$  is not a subspace of  $V$ .
- b. True. Since obviously  $\text{span}\{u+v, u+w, v+w\} \subseteq \text{span}\{u, v, w\}$  and  $\text{span}\{u, v, w\}$  is 3-dimensional, we need only check that  $\{u+v, u+w, v+w\}$  is linearly independent. But if  $\lambda(u+v) + \mu(u+w) + \nu(v+w) = 0$ , then  $(\lambda + \mu)u + (\lambda + \nu)v + (\mu + \nu)w = 0$ . By independence of  $\{u, v, w\}$ ,  $\lambda + \mu = \lambda + \nu = \mu + \nu = 0$ . Finally, solving the system, we see that  $\lambda = \mu = \nu = 0$ .
- c. True. Since every  $u \in U$  is orthogonal to every  $v \in U^\perp$ , we must have  $U \subseteq (U^\perp)^\perp$ . And since  $\dim(U^\perp)^\perp = n - \dim U^\perp = n - (n - \dim U) = \dim U$ , we have  $U = (U^\perp)^\perp$ .
- d. False. Gram-Schmidt can be used either with or without normalization. If used with normalization, you do get the same orthonormal set. If used without normalization then you don't get exactly the same set of vectors, but rather multiples of them. The phrase "Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization" suggests the process is used here without normalizing.
- e. True. First of all, the set  $\{X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_k\}$  is orthogonal: the  $X_i$  are orthogonal to each other, as are the  $Y_j$ , and each  $X_i$  is orthogonal to each  $Y_j$  since  $X_i \in U$  and  $Y_j \in U^\perp$ . And it is a basis because it is an orthogonal set of  $m + k = \dim U + \dim U^\perp = n$  vectors.
- f. False. It doesn't send the zero vector to itself, for example. (It isn't additive either.)
- g. True. Recall that  $\dim(U \cap W) + \dim(U + W) = \dim U + \dim W$ . Since  $U \neq W$ , we have  $U \subsetneq U + W \subseteq V$ , but  $\dim U = 2$  and  $\dim V = 3$ , which forces  $U + W = V$ . Thus the dimension equation becomes  $\dim(U \cap W) + 3 = 2 + 2$ .
- h. False. It would have rank  $n$  if there existed a solution for every  $B$ . But if you only assume it has a solution for a single  $B$ , as in this problem, you can say anything about the rank, it could any number from 0 to  $n$ .
- i. True.  $A$  is diagonal so it wears its eigenvalues visibly on the diagonal: 2 and 4.
- j. True. We have  $A X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ , so  $X$  is an eigenvector of eigenvalue 4.
- k. True. (You should just know this.)
- l. True. The columns are 5 vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , since they are more than the dimension they must be dependent.
- m. False. If  $B = 0$ , for example,  $\text{rank}(A B) = 0$  and  $\text{rank } A$  might very well be non-zero. (The opposite inequality is true, however: since  $\text{im } A B \subseteq \text{im } A$ , we have  $\text{rank}(A B) \leq \text{rank } A$ .)

- n. True. We have  $\dim(W^\perp) = n - \dim W$ ,  $\dim(U^\perp) = n - \dim U$  and  $\dim W \geq \dim U$ .
- o. False. The correct relation is  $\det(uA) = u^n \det(A)$ .

2.

a.  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Since the matrix is in reduced row-echelon form, its rank is just the number of non-zero rows, namely, 2.

b.  $T(w, x, y, z) = (y, z)$ . This  $T$  is obviously linear and onto (any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can be written as  $(y, z)$  and  $T(\text{anything, anything}, y, z) = (y, z)$ .)

c.  $\left( \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \right)^\perp$ . For this  $U$ , we have  $U^\perp = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .  $U$  is non-zero since it contains, for instance,  $\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

d. We have  $x^3 - x^2 - 2x = x(x^2 - x - 2) = x(x - 2)(x + 1)$ , so the diagonal matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  works.

e. Let see what kinds of matrices  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  satisfy  $A^2 = A$ . We have  $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 + bc & (a+d)b \\ (a+d)c & d^2 + bc \end{bmatrix}$ . One way for this to be  $A$  is for  $a + d = 1$ ,  $a^2 - a = d^2 - d = bc$ . That can happen if  $a = 1, d = 0$  or the other way around and one of  $b$  and  $c$  is 0. This is enough flexibility to find a basis:

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

(This really is a basis because you can easily express the standard basis in terms of this one.)

3. The characteristic polynomial is

$$\begin{vmatrix} \lambda + 2 & 0 & -3 \\ 3 & \lambda - 1 & -3 \\ 4 & 0 & \lambda - 5 \end{vmatrix} = (\lambda - 1) \begin{vmatrix} \lambda + 2 & -3 \\ 4 & \lambda - 5 \end{vmatrix} = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 2),$$

which factors as  $(\lambda - 1)^2(\lambda - 2)$ . Let's find eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda = 1$ . They're solutions of the system:

$$\begin{aligned} -2x + 3z &= x \\ -3x + y + 3z &= y \\ -4x + 5z &= z \end{aligned}$$